

I oppose loosening the rules designed to promote and protect diversity of media ownership. These rules were adopted to ensure that the public would receive a diverse range of viewpoints from the media, and not simply the opinions of a handful of media conglomerates. The cable ownership

cap is a crucial element of our democratic media, and it should not be weakened.

I am already disturbed by the small amount of choices. Companies are intervieweing other divisions of their own company and calling it "objective", as if these were outside sources.

As we saw with Stock Advisors, I am no longer sure I can trust anyone I see interviewed - since they are usually employed by same person as the interviewer, broadcasting on the same company's airwaves.

I feel the FCC must stop this type on comglomeration. While these companies do have rights, the right to make profit can not be the one and only criteria considered. The public's right to know is, and should be treated, as paramount. Much more importann that AOLs right to make money.

As the owner of an internet company, I am also concerned these same companies seeking to dominate cable TV will soon begin to create unfair boundaries to channel internet traffic.

The FCC needs to act now to actually protect the PUBLIC interest, not the PROFIT interest.